CRF 080003355 7/03/08 TOPETE 3:30PM MARCO ANTONIO RULING BOOKING FEE \$154.48 CLERK\_ DAVID OTHRPTR REFERRALS ORDERED: ARRAIGNMENT: APPEARANCES: Referred to: PUBLIC DEFENDER 1 Answers true name as charged 1 Deft. appears In custody Deft. not appearing
With / by Atty. / Pub. De John Many Referred To: PROBATION ☐ Pre-Plea ☐ Bail Study ☐ O.R. Report ☐ Waives reading of Compl / Info / Dec 3 Deputy Dist. Atty. ☐ R & S ☐ Diversion ☐ Supplemental ☐ Handed copy of Compl / Info / Dec 3 ☐ Deputy Probation Officer. ☐ Pub. Def. Conflict Filed; Appointed ☐ Handed copy of Discovery ☐ Interpreter sworn\_ 5 Defendant Duly Arraigned 6 6 Report to \_\_\_ for booking 6 Waives Formal Arraignment 7 Stip. to Pro-Tem Judge MOTIONS / AMENDMENTS / ORDERS: ORDERED CONTINUED TO: ☐ Motion to / for \_ Fuel Argued & Submitted Submitted without argument ☐ Granted ☐ Denied ☐ Taken Under Submission ☐ Grounds: . Complaint amended on its face to add CT # a violation of section ☐ 17b Reduced to ☐ Complaint amended on its face to correct count # to a violation of \_ 6 Crt Ack \_\_\_ Copies to DA \_\_\_ Protective Order signed / filed / served in open court / to remain ☐ Continued Party Mot. 8☐ Continued Court Mot. in effect to WARRANT ORDERS: WAIVERS / PLEAS: ☐ Prior convictions ☐ Bench / Arrest Warrant to Issue □ Admitted □ Denied □ Defendant waives Constitutional Rights ☐ Bail Set at \$\_ 9 Constitutional Rights and plea form filed (SEE REVERSE) ☐ No NTA / OR Release 10 Defendant waives time for sentencing Pleads Guilty, CT #\_ 11 Court Finds / Cou Stip: Factual Basis ☐ Pleads No Contest, CT #\_ 12 Cou/Peo States Reason for Plea on the Record 3 Recalled Filed Set Aside ☐ Pleads Not Guilty, CT #\_ 13 Diversion granted, count \_\_\_\_\_suspended 4 Hold Until -☐ Jury Trial Waived / Demanded 5 Held Warrant Issued \_\_ months. ☐ Time for Trial / PX / Waived / NOT Waived / Cont. 6 Civil Assessment Ordered \$300.00 □ Defendant requests permission to withdraw 14 Per Court / DA dismiss, Complaint / CT # NOT GUILTY / GUILTY / NO CONTEST Plea 5 Sent to State Prison, Execution of Sent. Susp. PROBATION ORDERS: ☐ Formal ☐ Informal ☐ See Probation Order 6 ☐ Probation Revoked: Defendant found in violation of probation. Probation Reinstated / Modified: Original terms in full force and 7 Probation terminated effect except as follows; 8 Diversion terminated: passed / failed ☐ Sentenced to 9 Criminal Proceedings Reinstated / Dismissed 10 Probation Extended to \_ \_ purs. to 1203.9PC 11 Proceedings Susp\_ 3 Case transfered to\_ 12 Def Accepts Prob. / Reinstatement ☐ Defendant given rights to Revocation Hearing: Admits / Denies allegations. 9 Proof shown dismiss CT #. FINE / REFERRAL ORDERS: 10 ☐ Fine / Jail Suspended on CT #\_ 6 Other (Inc. P/A) ☐ Fine □ Waived ☐ Felony 11 ☐ Pay attorney fee costs ☐ Misd. 7 Mo./Beginning \$35 2 A/R Fee 12 Proof of correction on CT #\_ due by . \$20 13 - \$10.00 Fix-It Fee on CT #\_ due 3 Court Sec. 14 Civil Assmt. owed \$300 or reduced to\_ 8 Referred to traffic school \$10 4 ☐ NTA Fee 15 Warrant repo & DMV Fees owed **DMV \$10** WR \$15 \$110/\$220 5 Rest 1202.4 JAIL ORDERS: **BAIL ORDERS:** hrs / days / months / yr. with CTS ☐ Be imprisoned \_ BB / CB forfeited ☐ Plus . BB / CB forfeiture set aside and reinstated / exonerated ☐ See Additional Page for State Prison Sent. for re-assumption fee. \_\_ hrs / days / months Upon pmt. of \$\_ ☐ Credit for Time Served \_ ☐ Sentence to commence\_ 3 BB / CB exonerated 4 Serve consecutive / concurrent with. DEFENDANT STATUS: REMANDING ORDERS: ☐ OR ☐ BB ☐ CB ☐ NTA / LTA Continued ☐ ROP ☐ OTA Remanded to County Jail Bail set \$\_ □ Search person / property / residence □ Test Alcohol / Drugs

3 Bring proof at next court date. 

Counseling to be approved by Probation. 4 To be transported by Prob. Ofcr. / Program Rep. I certify the foregoing copy of judgmen (rendered on the above date by the above named Judge.

2 Remanded to Serve Time

☐ Ordered Released ☐ On own OR ☐ Conditional

Kinon ... DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT BY

TO THE SHERIFF: The foregoing certified copy of Judgment in the above entitled action is your authority for the execution thereof. (PC1213)

\_ x Week

☐ Attend Counseling / NA / AA \_\_

## SUPERIOR COURT IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF YOLO

## PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Plaintiff,

Case CR-F -08-0003355

VS.

MINUTE ORDER

## MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE Defendant.

Judge: David Rosenberg Clerk: Heather Salmon Reporter: Michelle Muller

Date: July 3, 2008

HEARING: Ruling on Defendant's Motion #5 (170.1 PC), Cont Arr, Entry of plea

Counsel for the People:

Jeff Reisig, DA

Counsel for Defendant(s):

Barry Melton, PD Tracy Olson, APD Dean Johansson, APD

3:31 PM

Defendant present in custody. All counsel present in open court.

Defense counsel had filed a motion to disqualify for cause on 6-27-08.

Court had made a ruling. Copies of the ruling provided to counsel.

The court read aloud the Order on Defendant Marco Antonio Topete's Motion for Disqualification for Cause and Verified Answer of Judge David Rosenberg to Motion for Disqualification for Cause.

A Declaration of Shawn Landry has been filed and copies provided to counsel but not read aloud.

Court is unable to rule on Defendant's Motion #6 until the issue of disqualification has been resolved.

Counsel can prepare a stipulation for the court to sign, but there are a very limited number of issues the court can hear until the disqualification motion has been resolved.

Defense counsel Johansson stated objection to the Answer of Judge Rosenberg.

Defense counsel has a protective order regarding to subpoena duces tecum that has not been fully complied with.

Defense counsel Johansson stated the CD-Rom provided from the court does not have video of outside department 9. Counsel has been unable to find where the video from those cameras are.

Court advised Judge Lebov has been assigned to the court next week.

Counsel for the People suggested the court put the case over a couple days to see if all counsel could agree on a judge to here the disqualification motion.

Defense counsel Melton request the pending housekeeping issues be dealt with while waiting to get a judge to rule on the disqualification motion.

Counsel for the People stated it was unlikely counsel would be able to reach a stipulation as to a judge to hear the motion. The people request the matter be referred for a judicial assignment.

Defense counsel agreed to the referral to judicial counsel.

Since the court can hear default matters defense counsel Olson presented a proposed protective order which has been agreed upon by defense counsel and the District Attorney.

Counsel for the People submits on the issue of the protective order. He has reviewed the protective order and has no problems with it.

Court reviewed the proposed protective order. Court would like a protective order that is very clear as to what is and is not prohibited.

Court signed the protective order. This filed protective order supersedes previous written and verbal orders.

Defense counsel requested the court rule on the protective order.

Counsel for the People request additional language be included on the protective order.

Order modified by the court to added the verbiage "to the extent such items exist and are in the possession of the aforesaid entities".

All counsel in agreement with the order as modified.

Court believes it can sign the Order based on the stipulation of parties. Order signed by the court.

Matter continued to July 18, 2008 at 8:30 for Further Proceedings, Continued Arraignment, and entry of plea in Department 9.

4:35 PM

Court adjourned.

frmlmins.s (FMO-20)